INTRODUCTION

Lymphedema is one of the most burdensome complications of breast cancer treatment.\(^1,9\) It is caused by functional overload of the lymphatic system in which the lymph volume exceeds the transport capabilities.\(^2\) Lymphedema causes pain, functional disability, deformity, and recurrent infections within the edematous limb.\(^3-7\) Women with lymphedema also experience depression, anxiety, social isolation, and sexual problems.\(^7,8\) Therefore, identifying the risk factors for developing lymphedema is important to enable measures to be taken to reduce its occurrence. The survival rate for early breast cancer patients continues to improve. As a result, the adverse effects of post-treatment complications, such as lymphedema, on the long-term quality of life (QOL) have become increasingly serious problems.

Numerous studies have found that lymphedema is associated with radiation therapy,\(^9,10\) obesity,\(^11-15\) and chemotherapy.\(^13,16\) However, in Japan, the factors associated with lymphedema development after breast cancer surgery are not well established. The aim of the current study was to assess the risk factors for developing lymphedema following breast cancer treatment.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Subjects

The subjects of this study were 238 consecutive patients (238 women) who underwent axillary lymph node dissection for breast cancer at Shikoku Cancer Center between November 2014 and November 2019. The study variables were the occurrence of lymphedema, the body mass index, the follow-up period, the drain removal time, the level of lymph node dissection, the presence or absence of co-resident household members, radiation therapy, neoadjuvant chemotherapy, and adjuvant chemotherapy.

Results

We observed lymphedema in 23.9% of patients after axillary lymph node dissection for breast cancer. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy and adjuvant chemotherapy using docetaxel and cyclophosphamide increased the risk of developing lymphedema (P < 0.05).

Conclusions

Those patients treated with neoadjuvant chemotherapy and adjuvant chemotherapy using docetaxel and cyclophosphamide should be observed closely after axillary lymph node dissection, and appropriate intervention should be considered from an early stage.
ber 2013 and November 2016. The average age ± standard
deviation (SD) at the time of the study was 56.4 ± 12.3 years,
and the mean follow-up period was 31.2 ± 10.8 months.

Ethical Approval Statement
Shikoku Cancer Center Ethics Committee approved this
study, and written informed consent was obtained from each
participant (Approval No. 2018–45).

Outcome Measures
All patients gave their informed consent to participate
in this study. The variables studied were the occurrence of
lymphedema, the body mass index (BMI), the follow-up
period, the drain removal time, the level of lymph node dis-
section, the presence or absence of co-resident household
members, radiation therapy, neoadjuvant chemotherapy, and
adjuvant chemotherapy.

Lymphedema in outpatients was diagnosed by a doctor
following visual inspection, palpation, and confirmation of
circumference differences. Inspections evaluated the vis-
ibility of veins or tendons in the hand. Palpation evaluated
the presence of compression marks, Stemmer’s sign, and
skin hardness. Circumference differences were evaluated
by comparing the surgical upper extremity and the contra-
lateral upper extremity after surgery, and by comparing the
ipsilateral upper extremity before and after surgery. The
definition of lymphedema used in this study was that patients
with stage one, two, or three lymphedema as defined by the
International Society of Lymphology were categorized as the
lymphedema group; those categorized as stage zero made up
the non-lymphedema group. Occupational therapists and
physical therapists checked for lymphedema once a month
for the first 3 months after surgery, and any potential sign of
lymphedema was reported to the doctor for further investi-
gation. From 3 months after surgery, patients were examined
for lymphedema by a doctor when they visited the hospital
for adjuvant therapy. If the patients themselves suspected
that they were developing lymphedema, they visited the
outpatient clinic for confirmation of lymphedema. BMI was
measured before surgery.

Radiation therapy was classified into three categories:
irradiation of the subclavian lymph node and the anterior
distinct, irradiation of the anterior chest only, and no radiation
therapy. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy was classified as yes
or no. The basic policy for neoadjuvant chemotherapy was
for it to be administered in patients who had lymph node
metastasis at the time of diagnosis or whose tumor diameter
was large (≥3 cm).

Adjuvant chemotherapy was classified as docetaxel and
cyclophosphamide (TC); TC + trastuzumab; doxorubicin
and cyclophosphamide (AC) + paclitaxel; trastuzumab +
paclitaxel; paclitaxel; and other. The basic method for de-
termining the adjuvant chemotherapy regimen was as fol-
lows: node-negative patients were treated with TC, whereas
node-positive patients were treated with AC or epirubicin +
cyclophosphamide followed by taxane (docetaxel or pacli-
taxel). Furthermore, patients with hormone receptor-positive
and only one to three lymph node metastases were treated
with TC.

Rehabilitation Program
During hospitalization before surgery, upper limb ex-
ercise instruction was given. The patients were instructed
not to mobilize the shoulder beyond 90° of abduction and
flexion until postoperative day four (or until the day of drain
removal). Once the drains were removed, no restriction of
movement was imposed except that resulting from pain. Af-
ter discharge, patients were encouraged to perform exercises
home and were provided with a DVD demonstrating upper
limb exercises. At the time of discharge, occupational ther-
pists and physical therapists instructed patients to visit the
hospital if postoperative adverse events, including lymph-
edema, occurred.

Statistical Analysis
The differences between the lymphedema group and the
non-lymphedema group were compared using the inde-
pendent t-test, the χ² test, and the Mann-Whitney U test.
Variables with P<0.20 by these tests were selected for mul-
tivariate analysis. Logistic regression was utilized to assess
the relationship between risk factors and lymphedema. The
variables with P <0.05 were retained in the final multivariate
analysis. SPSS software version 22.0 (IBM, Tokyo, Japan)
was used to analyze the collected data.

RESULTS

We observed lymphedema in 23.9% (57/238) of patients
after axillary lymph node dissection for breast cancer. The
time of diagnose of lymphedema was on average 10.5 ± 8.3
months after surgery.

The results of the univariate analysis are shown in Table 1.
The drain removal time, the level of lymph node dissection,
neoadjuvant chemotherapy, adjuvant chemotherapy using
TC, and adjuvant chemotherapy using AC + paclitaxel were
significantly different between the lymphedema group and
the non-lymphedema group (P < 0.2).

The results of the logistic regression analysis are shown in Table 2. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy and adjuvant chemotherapy using TC resulted in an increased risk of developing lymphedema (P < 0.05). The drain removal time, the level of lymph node dissection, and adjuvant chemotherapy using AC + paclitaxel did not affect lymphedema development.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, 57 women (23.9%) had developed lymphedema by the end of the follow-up period. The predictors of breast cancer-related lymphedema were neoadjuvant chemotherapy and adjuvant chemotherapy using TC.

Upper-limb lymphedema is a chronic disabling sequel caused by lymphatic insufficiency; its prevalence varies from 6% to 49% among patients who have undergone lymphadenectomies.17–19) Lymphedema may present immediately or years after treatment, although the majority of cases occur during the first 18 months.20,21) In the current study, lymphedema occurred on average 10.5 months after surgery.

Neoadjuvant therapy reduced the size of the primary tumor, eventually allowing radical or more conservative surgical interventions. In the current study, neoadjuvant chemotherapy was a risk factor for lymphedema. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy is intended for patients with lymph node metastasis or large tumor diameters, and the associated wide-ranging surgical lymph node dissection may be

### Table 1. Comparison of variables between the lymphedema and the non-lymphedema groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Lymphedema group (n=57)</th>
<th>Non-lymphedema group (n=181)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years) a</td>
<td>55.3 ± 10.9 (53)</td>
<td>56.4 ± 12.8 (58)</td>
<td>0.568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²) a</td>
<td>23.6 ± 4.3 (23)</td>
<td>22.9 ± 3.8 (22.4)</td>
<td>0.295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up period (months) a</td>
<td>32.3±11.5</td>
<td>30.9±10.6</td>
<td>0.308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drain removal time (days) a</td>
<td>5.2 ± 1.7 (5)</td>
<td>4.8 ± 1.5 (5)</td>
<td>0.126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of lymph node dissection (level 1/2/3) b</td>
<td>40/12/5</td>
<td>144/23/14</td>
<td>0.167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence or absence of co-resident household members (yes/no) b</td>
<td>51/6</td>
<td>158/23</td>
<td>0.818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation therapy (subclavian lymph node and the anterior chest/anterior chest/no) b</td>
<td>7/15/35</td>
<td>25/36/120</td>
<td>0.303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neoadjuvant chemotherapy (yes/no) b</td>
<td>22/35</td>
<td>47/134</td>
<td>0.093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjuvant chemotherapy (n) b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Docetaxel and cyclophosphamide</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Docetaxel and cyclophosphamide + trastuzumab</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide + paclitaxel</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trastuzumab and paclitaxel</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paclitaxel</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.696</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aMean ± standard deviation (median). bProportion.

### Table 2. Results of logistic regression analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Odds ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drain removal time</td>
<td>1.119 (0.933–1.341)</td>
<td>0.227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of lymph node dissection</td>
<td>1.386 (0.819–2.345)</td>
<td>0.225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neoadjuvant chemotherapy</td>
<td>2.310 (1.067–5.000)</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjuvant docetaxel and cyclophosphamide</td>
<td>4.020 (1.776–9.098)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjuvant doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide + paclitaxel</td>
<td>0.358 (0.042–3.029)</td>
<td>0.346</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI: confidence interval.
related to the occurrence of lymphedema. However, in the current study, the degree of lymph node dissection had little effect on the occurrence of lymphedema. For patients who underwent neoadjuvant chemotherapy, it was considered that fluid retention from chemotherapy may have overwhelmed the compromised lymphatic vessels after surgery.

Taxane-based chemotherapy is routinely used in the treatment of high-risk breast cancer. A side effect of taxane-based chemotherapy is skin hardening. Jung et al. reported that taxane-based chemotherapy was an independent risk factor for lymphedema on multivariate analysis in patients following axillary lymph node dissection. A review comparing adjuvant chemotherapy with and without docetaxel in breast cancer patients showed that patients receiving docetaxel consistently had increased rates of edema compared with patients receiving docetaxel-free chemotherapy. A common side effect of taxane-based chemotherapy, specifically docetaxel, is increased extracellular fluid, which often presents as fluid retention in the extremities. Furthermore, docetaxel exhibits relatively greater hematologic toxicity and is more commonly associated with edema than paclitaxel is. In the current study, adjuvant chemotherapy using TC was one of the risk factors for lymphedema. TC includes docetaxel, and docetaxel may have influenced the development of lymphedema. Among our findings, adjuvant chemotherapy using TC + trastuzumab had little effect on the occurrence of lymphedema. However, the number of patients in the TC + trastuzumab group may have been too small to show a significant difference.

Ridner et al. found that breast cancer survivors whose BMI was ≥30 at the time of breast cancer treatment were approximately 3.6 times more likely to develop lymphedema than those with a BMI <30. Obesity is associated with fat necrosis, poor wound healing, and infection, all of which can lead to lymphedema. People with a larger BMI need a greater volume of blood circulation and an effective lymphatic system to facilitate fluid flow, maintain circulatory balance, and prevent any imbalance in lymphatic fluid. In contrast to previous studies, we found no association between the development of lymphedema and BMI. However, it should be taken into consideration that our patients had a lower median baseline BMI than those of Ridner et al. (22.4 vs. 28.8 kg/m²).

Many studies have suggested that radiotherapy is an independent risk factor of lymphedema. Radiotherapy can cause venous occlusion within the radiation field, lymphatic damage, and oppress venous and lymphatic circulation as a result of local muscle fibrosis. In contrast to the findings of previous studies, we found no association between lymphedema and radiation treatment. These differences may have resulted from the more refined radiation techniques being implemented in recent years. Moreover, lymphedema may not have occurred because the irradiation area of patients was limited to the subclavian lymph node and the anterior chest.

**Study Limitations**

The current study has some limitations. First, although the risk factors for lymphedema were clarified, currently, there is no known method for preventing lymphedema. Second, we did not examine whether lymphedema affects the activities of daily living and QOL. Third, from 3 months after surgery and during the follow-up period, confirmation of lymphedema depended on patients presenting with symptoms, and this may have affected the results. Further research is needed to examine these issues.

**CONCLUSION**

Our study showed that lymphedema occurred in 23.9% of patients after axillary lymph node dissection for breast cancer. The average time between surgery and the diagnosis of lymphedema was 10.5 months.

Neoadjuvant chemotherapy and adjuvant chemotherapy using TC presented an increased risk of developing lymphedema. Identification of these factors could help us to plan the prevention and control of lymphedema in patients after axillary lymph node dissection for breast cancer.
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